

ITC - Lecture 2 of week 10

The two main goals are:

- (1) describe the isomorphisms $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}_q^m$ (already used in decoding concatenate codes).
- (2) use those isomorphisms (and Reed-Solomon codes) to decode Reed-Muller codes - we'll only do the low degree case and binary case, as in last lecture.

Recommended reading: parts of appendix D and parts of section 3 of chapter 13 in the book.

MORE ON FINITE FIELDS (continuation of the notes of week 7)

Let q be a power of a prime number p . Let \mathbb{F}_q be a field with q elements. \mathbb{F} will denote any field, finite or not.

We define the characteristic of a field \mathbb{F} by

$$\text{char } \mathbb{F} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \min \{ m \in \mathbb{N}_1 \mid \sum_{i=1}^m 1 = 0 \} & \text{if the minimum exists} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

↑ this is the zero of \mathbb{F}
operations done in \mathbb{F}
where $1 \in \mathbb{F}$ is the identity

i.e. we are considering the map $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ s.t. $\varphi(0) = 0$,

$\varphi(1) = 1$, and preserves $+$ and \cdot . So we must have

$$m = \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m 1}_{\text{in } \mathbb{Z}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^m 1}_{\text{in } \mathbb{F}}$$

The $\text{char}(\mathbb{F})$ is the smallest positive integer n such that $\varphi(n) = 0$ (if such n exists), 0 otherwise.

- Example
- \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{C} have characteristic 0
 - \mathbb{Z}_p has characteristic p .

Exercise: Show that, if F is a finite field, then

(1) the minimum in the definition of characteristic exists and is a prime number p

(2) the map $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow F$ defined above induces an injective map $\tilde{\varphi}: \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow F$ s.t. $\tilde{\varphi}$ preserves the field structure.

The image $\tilde{\varphi}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the unique copy of \mathbb{Z}_p inside F .

I'll simply write $\mathbb{Z}_p \subseteq F$ (or $\mathbb{F}_p \subseteq F$) without referring to φ or $\tilde{\varphi}$.

The map φ also gives a meaning to $m\alpha$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha \in F$, that is, we define $m\alpha \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi(m)\alpha$

Proof 1 For every $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$, we have:

$$(1) (x+y)^p = x^p + y^p$$

$$(2) (x+y)^{p^i} = x^{p^i} + y^{p^i}, \quad \forall i \geq 1$$

In particular, we also have $(x+y)^{q^i} = x^{q^i} + y^{q^i}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and $i \geq 1$, because q is a power of p .

Pf: (1) $(x+y)^p = \sum_{i=0}^p \binom{p}{i} x^i y^{p-i}$ $\otimes \left(\binom{p}{i} \in \mathbb{N}, x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and operations done in } \mathbb{F}_q \right)$

$$\binom{p}{i} = \frac{p!}{i!(p-i)!} = \frac{p \times (p-1)!}{i!(p-i)!}$$

If $0 < i < p$, then all factors of $i!$ and $(p-i)!$ are $< p$ so none of them is a factor $\neq 1$ of p (because p is prime) and so

$$\binom{p}{i} = p \times \underbrace{\frac{(p-1)!}{i!(p-i)!}}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

and the only non-zero coefficients in \otimes are $\binom{p}{0} = 1$ and $\binom{p}{p} = 1$.

(2) Exercise: use induction on i and part (1)

Prop 2 For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q$, $x^q = x$.

PF by cases

$x=0$: trivial

$x \neq 0$: want to show that $x^{q-1} = 1$.

Let $\mathbb{F}_q^* = \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{q-1}\}$ $\textcircled{*}$

Since $x \neq 0$, multiplying by x still gives $xy_i \neq 0$, and

$xy_i \neq xy_j$ if $i \neq j$. So

$\mathbb{F}_q^* = \{xy_1, xy_2, \dots, xy_{q-1}\}$ $\textcircled{**}$

$\textcircled{*}$ and $\textcircled{**}$ $\Rightarrow (xy_1)(xy_2)\dots(xy_{q-1}) = y_1 y_2 \dots y_{q-1}$

$\Leftrightarrow x^{q-1} y_1 y_2 \dots y_{q-1} = \underbrace{y_1 y_2 \dots y_{q-1}}_{\in \mathbb{F}_q^*}$

$\Leftrightarrow x^{q-1} = 1$ \square

Prop 2 says that any element of \mathbb{F}_q is a root of the polynomial $X^q - X \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$, i.e., this polynomial of degree q has at least q roots, therefore

$$X^q - X = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} (X - \alpha). \quad \textcircled{*}_3$$

The coefficients of $X^q - X$ are 1 and -1 (elements of any field!) so we can also regard $X^q - X$ as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$ and $\textcircled{*}_3$ tells us how to find the unique copy of \mathbb{F}_q inside \mathbb{F}_{q^m} (for any $m \geq 1$):

The elements of $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ are the roots of $X^q - X \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[X]$

Or, equivalently, given $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, we test if $x \in \mathbb{F}_q (\subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m})$ by checking if x is a root of $X^q - X$, i.e., by checking if $x^q = x$.

Recall that \mathbb{F}_q^m (with its $+$, \cdot operations) has a natural structure of vector space over \mathbb{F}_q (because \mathbb{F}_q is a subfield of \mathbb{F}_q^m). We will now give a description of the linear functions $\mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ over \mathbb{F}_q , i.e., regarding both \mathbb{F}_q^m and \mathbb{F}_q as vector spaces over \mathbb{F}_q .

Given $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, define the trace of x by

$$\text{Tr}(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x + x^q + x^{q^2} + \dots + x^{q^{m-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{q^i}$$

using the operations in \mathbb{F}_q^m (where x belongs).

Prop 3 For any $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ we have

(1) $\text{Tr}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (not just \mathbb{F}_q^m !)

(2) $\text{Tr}(x+y) = \text{Tr}(x) + \text{Tr}(y)$

(3) $\text{Tr}(\alpha x) = \alpha \text{Tr}(x)$

(4) $\exists x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ s.t. $\text{Tr}(x) \neq 0$

As a consequence, the trace function $\text{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is

- well-defined (by (1)),
- linear over \mathbb{F}_q (by (2) and (3)), and
- surjective and $|\text{Tr}(\alpha)^{-1}| = q^{m-1} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ (by linearity and (4))

$$\text{Tr}: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \quad \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{Tr}) \text{ is a } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-subspace of } \mathbb{F}_q$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{Tr}) = \{0\} \text{ or } \text{Im}(\text{Tr}) = \mathbb{F}_q$$

(4) $\Rightarrow \text{Im}(\text{Tr}) \neq \{0\}$ so it must be $\text{Im}(\text{Tr}) = \mathbb{F}_q$ therefore Tr is surjective. Also, because Tr is linear and surjective, $|\text{Tr}(\alpha)^{-1}| = |\ker(\text{Tr})| = q^{m-1} \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$.

Example $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2[x] / \langle x^2 + x + 1 \rangle = \{a_0 + a_1\alpha : a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2\} = \{0, 1, \alpha, 1+\alpha\}$
 where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4$ is a root of $x^2 + x + 1$, i.e., $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$.

$\text{Tr} : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$, $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^2$ by definition.

• $\text{Tr}(1) = 1 + 1^2 = 0$ (both \mathbb{F}_4 and \mathbb{F}_2 have characteristic 2)

• $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \alpha + \alpha^2 = 1$ $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$

• $\text{Tr}(1+\alpha) = \text{Tr}(1) + \text{Tr}(\alpha) = 0 + 1 = 1$ \otimes
 \uparrow linearity over \mathbb{F}_2

Note that it's NOT true that $\text{Tr}(\alpha) = \text{Tr}(\alpha \cdot 1) = \alpha \text{Tr}(1)$
 ($\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_4$ but $\alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_2$ and Tr is linear over \mathbb{F}_2 not \mathbb{F}_4)

From $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{a_0 + a_1\alpha : a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$ we can see that $\{1, \alpha\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{F}_4 as a \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space, and the trace function can be computed knowing only $\text{Tr}(1)$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha)$ as it was done in \otimes . (This observation is more relevant for larger \mathbb{F}_{q^m} .)

For a generic \mathbb{F}_2 -linear map $L : \mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$, we can do the same:
 $L(a_0 + a_1\alpha) = a_0 L(1) + a_1 L(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix} L(1) & L(\alpha) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \end{bmatrix}$ $\otimes \otimes$
 \uparrow
 \mathbb{F}_2 -linearity

Different choices for $(L(1), L(\alpha)) \in \mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2$ define different linear maps $\mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ (exercise), thus there are 4 linear maps over \mathbb{F}_2 , including the zero map.

Exercise: Generalize for \mathbb{F}_q -linear maps $L : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ and conclude that the set

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ L : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \mid L \text{ is } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear} \}$$

contains exactly q^m elements.

$\mathbb{F}_{q^m} = \mathbb{F}_q[x] / \langle P(x) \rangle$ where $P(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree m . Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ be a root of $P(x)$. Then $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{m-1}\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{F}_{q^m} (or choose any other basis) and we can define a linear map $L \in \mathcal{L}$ as in $\otimes \otimes$

Proof of Prop 3:

(1) By definition, $T_2(x) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$.

An element $y \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is in $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ iff y is a root of the polynomial $X^q - X$. So we need to check that $(T_2(x))^q = T_2(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (T_2(x))^q &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{q^i} \right)^q \stackrel{\text{Prop 1}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (x^{q^i})^q = x^q + x^{q^2} + \dots + x^{q^{m-1}} + x^{q^m} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def of } T_2(x)}{=} x^q + x^{q^2} + \dots + x^{q^{m-1}} + 1 = T_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \Rightarrow x^{q^m} = x \text{ by Prop 2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad T_2(x+y) &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (x+y)^{q^i} \stackrel{\text{Prop 1}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (x^{q^i} + y^{q^i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{q^i} + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} y^{q^i} \\ &\stackrel{\text{def of } T_2}{=} T_2(x) + T_2(y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad T_2(\alpha x) &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\alpha x)^{q^i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha^{q^i} x^{q^i} \stackrel{\text{Prop 2}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha x^{q^i} \\ &\stackrel{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \Rightarrow \alpha^q = \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^{q^i} = \alpha \ \forall i \geq 0}{=} \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} x^{q^i} = \alpha T_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

(4) By definition $T_2(x)$ is a polynomial on x of degree q^{m-1} , so it has at most q^{m-1} roots.

$$\ker(T_2) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \mid T_2(x) = 0\} = \{\text{roots of } T_2\}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\ker(T_2)| \leq q^{m-1} < q^m = |\mathbb{F}_{q^m}|$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \text{ s.t. } x \notin \ker(T_2).$$

□

Prop 4 A map $L: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is linear over \mathbb{F}_q iff there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ s.t. $L(x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$.

Pf: (\Leftarrow) We need to show that $x \mapsto \text{Tr}(\lambda x)$ is linear over \mathbb{F}_q for any (fixed) $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$, i.e., we need to show that

(i) $\text{Tr}(\lambda(x+y)) = \text{Tr}(\lambda x) + \text{Tr}(\lambda y) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$
 and
 (ii) $\text{Tr}(\lambda(\alpha x)) = \alpha \text{Tr}(\lambda x) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$

But (i) and (ii) are just a consequence of the \mathbb{F}_q -linearity of the trace function.

(\Rightarrow) Let $f_\lambda(x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda x)$. Then $f_\lambda: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear function and, as a polynomial in x , it has degree q^{m-1} if $\lambda \neq 0$.

So $f_\lambda \neq 0$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^m^*$. Also, if $\lambda \neq \zeta$, then $f_\lambda \neq f_\zeta$ by a similar argument:

$$(f_\lambda - f_\zeta)(x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda x) - \text{Tr}(\zeta x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda x - \zeta x) = f_{\lambda - \zeta}(x)$$

\uparrow
linearity of Tr

$$\lambda \neq \zeta \Rightarrow f_{\lambda - \zeta} \neq 0$$

\therefore We have q^m distinct linear functions $f_\lambda: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ ⊗

By a previous exercise,

$$|\mathcal{L} = \{ L: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \mid L \text{ is } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear} \}| = q^m \quad \text{⊗⊗}$$

$$\text{⊗ and } \text{⊗⊗} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L} = \{ f_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^m \}$$

~~⊗~~

Note: In the above proof, we only need the inequality

$$|\mathcal{L}| \leq |\mathbb{F}_q^m| \text{ in } \text{⊗⊗}.$$

Next we will use this nice characterization of \mathbb{F}_q -linear functions given by Prop. 4 to describe the isomorphisms $\mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ of \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces.

Any \mathbb{F}_q -linear function $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ can be viewed as $\Phi(x) = (\Phi_1(x), \dots, \Phi_m(x))$ where $\Phi_i: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear function, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Prop 5 An \mathbb{F}_q -linear function $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ is an isomorphism iff $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$ where, for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, there is $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ s.t. $\Phi_i(x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda_i x)$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q .

Pf: By proposition 4, a function $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ is linear iff $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$ where $\Phi_i(x) = \text{Tr}(\lambda_i x)$, for $1 \leq i \leq m$. We need to prove that the linear function Φ is bijective iff $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{F}_q .

Recall that $\text{Im } \Phi = \{\Phi(x) \mid x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m\}$ is a subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^m .

Recall also that $\dim S^\perp = m - \dim S$ for any subspace $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^m$, and so Φ is surjective iff $(\text{Im } \Phi)^\perp = \{0\}$.

Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$. Let $\zeta = \alpha_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \lambda_m$.

For any $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\zeta x) &= \text{Tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \lambda_i x\right) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \text{Tr}(\lambda_i x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \Phi_i(x) \\ &= \langle \alpha, \Phi(x) \rangle \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ \text{Tr is } \mathbb{F}_q\text{-linear} \\ \text{and } \alpha_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \end{array} \right\} \textcircled{*}$$

So

$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ are not linearly independent

\Leftrightarrow there is $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m) \in \mathbb{F}_q^m \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $0 = \alpha_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_m \lambda_m = 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}(0x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$

↑
as in proof of Prop 4

$\Leftrightarrow \langle \alpha, \underline{\Phi}(x) \rangle = 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \Leftrightarrow \alpha \in (\text{Im } \underline{\Phi})^\perp \neq \{0\}$

↑
by \otimes

↑
by definition of $(\text{Im } \underline{\Phi})^\perp$

$\Leftrightarrow \underline{\Phi}$ is not surjective $\Leftrightarrow \underline{\Phi}$ is not bijective □

↑
 $|\text{domain}| = |\mathbb{F}_{q^m}| = q^m = |\mathbb{F}_q^m| = |\text{range}|$

Example $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{a_0 + a_1 \alpha \mid a_0, a_1 \in \mathbb{F}_2\}$ with $\alpha^2 = \alpha + 1$

Given this description of \mathbb{F}_4 , the "obvious" isomorphism of \mathbb{F}_2 -vector spaces between \mathbb{F}_4 and $\mathbb{F}_2 \times \mathbb{F}_2$ is

$$\underline{\Phi}: \mathbb{F}_4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2, \quad \underline{\Phi}(a_0 + a_1 \alpha) = (a_0, a_1) \quad \otimes$$

which amounts to sending the elements in the basis $\{1, \alpha\}$ of \mathbb{F}_4 to the elements of the basis $\{(1,0), (0,1)\}$ of \mathbb{F}_2^2 .

To compute $\underline{\Phi}(x)$ for an arbitrary $x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2}$ ($x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ in a general case) using \otimes , first we need to write x as a linear combination of $1, \alpha$ (easy in \mathbb{F}_4 , maybe not so easy in a larger \mathbb{F}_{q^m}). Using prop 5 (or even just prop 4 for each of the $\underline{\Phi}_i$), we get a "closed form" for $\underline{\Phi}$ in terms of the trace function and fixed $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{F}_4$.

For the function $\underline{\Phi}$ in \otimes we get, for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_4$,

$$\underline{\Phi}_1(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha^2 x) = \alpha^2 x + \alpha x^2$$

$$\underline{\Phi}_2(x) = \text{Tr}(x) = x + x^2$$

↑ Exercise

$\rightsquigarrow \lambda_1 = \alpha^2$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$, which are l. ind. as we were expecting (by prop 5)

BACK TO REED-MULLER AND REED SOLOMON CODES

Example Consider the Reed-Muller code ↗ separate degrees ≤ 1

$$RM(2,3,1) = \{f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, X_2, X_3] \mid \deg f \leq 1\}$$

with parameters $[2^m, \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i}, 2^{m-r}]_2 = [8, 4, 4]_2$.

A generic $f \in RM(2,3,1)$ is of the form

$$f(X_1, X_2, X_3) = f_0 + f_1 X_1 + f_2 X_2 + f_3 X_3, \text{ with } f_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$$

$\mathbb{F}_{2^m} = \mathbb{F}_8 = \mathbb{F}_2[X] / (X^3 + X + 1)$ and let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_8$ be a root of $X^3 + X + 1$,
i.e. $\alpha^3 = \alpha + 1$

$\{1, \alpha, \alpha^2\}$ is a basis for \mathbb{F}_8 as a vector space over \mathbb{F}_2 .

By prop 5, $\Phi(z) = (\text{Tr}(z), \text{Tr}(\alpha z), \text{Tr}(\alpha^2 z))$ defines an isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^3$ over \mathbb{F}_2 .

Note that each of the functions $\text{Tr}(z)$, $\text{Tr}(\alpha z)$ and $\text{Tr}(\alpha^2 z)$ are polynomials of degree $z^{m-1} = 4$ in z

If we take the composite $f \circ \Phi$ we also get a polynomial of degree at most 4 and coefficients in \mathbb{F}_8 .

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \Phi(z) &= f_0 + f_1 \text{Tr}(z) + f_2 \text{Tr}(\alpha z) + f_3 \text{Tr}(\alpha^2 z) \\ &= f_0 + (f_1 + \alpha f_2 + \alpha^2 f_3)z + (f_1 + \alpha^2 f_2 + \alpha^4 f_3)z^2 + \\ &\quad + (f_1 + \alpha^4 f_2 + \alpha f_3)z^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(z) &= z + z^2 + z^4 \\ \text{Tr}(\alpha z) &= \alpha z + \alpha^2 z^2 + \alpha^4 z^4 \\ \text{Tr}(\alpha^2 z) &= \alpha^2 z + \alpha^4 z^2 + \alpha z^4 \end{aligned}$$

I.e., $f \circ \Phi \in \mathbb{F}_8[z]$ with $\deg(f \circ \Phi) \leq 4$. If we evaluate $P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f \circ \Phi$ at all points of \mathbb{F}_8 , we get a codeword of a Reed-Solomon code over \mathbb{F}_8 with dimension $k = 4 + 1$ and block length 8, i.e. a RS code with parameter $[8, 5, 4]_8$, and we can use the decoding algorithm for the RS code to decode our initial RM code.

In the previous example, it's a case of low degree polynomials in the RM code ($r=1 < q=2$). In general, it's not easy to determine the maximum possible degree of $f \circ \Phi$ (which will give the dimension of the RS code) since, for higher degree polynomials, we need to reduce modulo $z^{q^m} - z$ because, when evaluating $P(z) \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[z]$ at a point $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, all terms α^N in $P(\alpha)$ with $N \geq q^m$ become terms α^N with $N < q^m$ because

$$x^{q^m} = x \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$$

Example Consider the code $RM(\frac{q}{2}, \frac{m}{2}, \frac{r}{2})$ and

$$f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x_1, x_2, x_3]$$

$$\deg f = 2 = r \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_{x_i} f \leq 1 = q - 1 \quad \checkmark$$

Consider the same isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_8 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^3$ from the previous example and take the composite $f \circ \Phi$:

$$\begin{aligned} P(z) &= f \circ \Phi(z) = \text{Tr}_2(z) \text{Tr}_2(\kappa z) \\ &= (z + z^2 + z^4)(\kappa z + \kappa^2 z^2 + \kappa^4 z^4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \kappa z^2 + \dots + (\kappa^4 + \kappa^2) z^6 + z^8 \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{because } z^8 = z \\ \text{if } z \in \mathbb{F}_8}}{\longrightarrow} = 1 + \kappa z^2 + \dots + (\kappa^4 + \kappa^2) z^6 \pmod{z^8 - z} \end{aligned}$$

The $f \in RM$ with $\deg f = 2$ becomes a $P \in RS$ with $\deg P = 6 \neq 8 = (\deg f) \times \deg(\text{Tr}_2)$.

Note that $(P(x))_{x \in \mathbb{F}_8}$ is a codeword of a RS code with parameters $[z^m, K, D]_{z^m} = [8, K, D]_8$ so we must have $\deg P \leq k - 1 < 8$. Note also that if $k = N = 8$ then

$D = 1 \rightsquigarrow$ no error correction or error detection capabilities!

We want the smallest possible K so that D is larger.

So we want an upper bound on $\deg(f \circ \Phi \pmod{z^{q^m} - z})$.

The general setting:

Def Given a prime power q , non-negative integers m and r , define $R_{q,m}(r)$ to be the maximum of $\deg(f \circ \Phi)$ over all polynomial functions $f: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ with $\deg(f) \leq r$ and over all \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphisms $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$

Note: $f: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ and $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ give $f \circ \Phi: \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$, but $\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$, so also get a function $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathbb{F}_q^m \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{F}_q \xrightarrow{\text{inclusion}} \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$

which we also denote by $f \circ \Phi$. The degree of these functions $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ is the degree of the polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[Z]$ with $\deg(P) < q^m$ s.t. $P(x) = f \circ \Phi(x) \forall x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. That's why we were reducing modulo $Z^{q^m} - Z$ in the previous example.

Consider a Reed-Muller code

$$RM(m, q, r) = \{f: \mathbb{F}_q^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q \mid \deg f \leq r, \text{ separate degree} \leq q-1\}$$

Let $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^m$ be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism.

For the same m, q, r , consider the Reed-Solomon code over \mathbb{F}_{q^m} with evaluation points \mathbb{F}_{q^m} :

$$\mathcal{C} = \{(P(x))_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \mid P \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[Z], \deg P \leq R_{q,m}(r)\}$$

\mathcal{C} is a $[q^m, R_{q,m}(r)+1, q^m - R_{q,m}(r)]_{q^m}$ code and, in particular, \mathcal{C} and $RM(m, q, r)$ have the same block length.

We will now determine $R_{m,q}(r)$ for the cases we have already computed the parameters of $RM(m, q, r)$.

The low-degree case ($r < q$)

Prop If $r < q$, then $R_{q,m}(r) = r \cdot q^{m-1}$.

Pf Let $f \in \mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ with $\deg(f) \leq r$ and let $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m)$ be an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism. Since each Φ_i is a polynomial degree q^{m-1} (by prop 5), then $\deg(f \circ \Phi) \leq r \cdot q^{m-1} < q^m$ and reduction modulo $z^{q^m} - z$ gives the same degree.

$$\therefore R_{q,m}(r) \leq r \cdot q^{m-1}.$$

Now we need to show that $R_{q,m}(r) \geq r \cdot q^{m-1}$.

To do that consider $f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \prod_{a \in S} (X_1 - a)$, where $S \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q$ is a set containing $|S| = r < q$ elements. Then

$$P(z) = f \circ \Phi = \prod_{a \in S} (\Phi_1(z) - a) \quad \text{where} \quad \Phi_1(z) = T_2(\lambda_1, z).$$

To get a lower bound on the degree of a polynomial $P \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}[z]$ we count its roots:

$$x \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \text{ is a root of } P(z) \text{ iff } \prod_{a \in S} (T_2(\lambda_1, x) - a) = 0$$

$$\text{iff } \underbrace{T_2(\lambda_1, x) = a}_{\text{for some } a \in S}$$

this equation (with $a \in S$ fixed) has q^{m-1} different solutions by Prop 3

there are $|S| = r$ different a 's

$$\Rightarrow P(z) \text{ has } r \times q^{m-1} \text{ roots} \Rightarrow R_{q,m}(r) \geq \deg P \geq r q^{m-1}$$

Recall that, if $r < q$, $RM(q, m, r)$ is a $[q^m, \binom{m+r}{r}, q^m(1 - \frac{r}{q})]_q$ code. By this proposition, \mathcal{C} is a $[q^m, r q^{m-1} + 1, q^m(1 - \frac{r}{q})]_q$. They have the same minimum distance!

So we can use a decoder for \mathcal{C} which corrects up to $\frac{1}{2} d_H(\mathcal{C})$ many errors to decode $RM(q, m, r)$ up to $\frac{1}{2}$ of its minimum distance.

The binary case ($q=2$)

Consider a $RM(2, m, r)$ code (with $r \leq m$).

If $f \in \mathbb{F}_2[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ has $\deg(f) \leq r$ and $\deg_{X_i}(f) \leq 1 (= q-1)$

then

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_m) = \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq \{1, \dots, m\} \\ |S| \leq r}} f_S X_S \quad \text{where } f_S \in \mathbb{F}_2 \text{ and } X_S = \prod_{i \in S} X_i$$

Let $\Phi = (\Phi_1, \dots, \Phi_m) : \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^m$ be an \mathbb{F}_2 -linear isomorphism.

To get the degree of $f \circ \Phi$ (modulo $z^{2^m} - z$) we can analyze separately what happens to each of the monomials X_S after composing with Φ . W.l.o.g., assume $S = \{1, \dots, s\}$, where $s \leq r$, that is, assume $X_S = X_1 X_2 \dots X_s$, with $s \leq r$, and let $f = X_S$.

For the case $s=2$:

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \Phi(z) &= \Phi_1(z) \Phi_2(z) = \text{Tr}_2(\lambda_1 z) \text{Tr}_2(\lambda_2 z) \stackrel{\text{def of trace function}}{=} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \lambda_1 z^i z^{2^i} \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \lambda_2 z^j z^{2^j} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=0}^{m-1} \left(\lambda_1 \lambda_2 z^{2^i + 2^j} \right) \quad \textcircled{*} \end{aligned}$$

If $i=j$, then $z^{2^i + 2^i} = z^{2^{i+1}}$ has degree 2^{i+1} . If $2^{i+1} \geq 2^m$, it will be reduced modulo $z^m - 1$ to some degree $< 2^m$.

If $i \neq j$, then $z^{2^i + 2^j}$ has degree $2^i + 2^j \leq \underbrace{2^{m-2} + 2^{m-1}}_{< 2^m}$ and reduction modulo $z^m - 1$ does nothing.

So, what's the highest possible degree in z we can get in $\textcircled{*}$ after reducing modulo $z^{2^m} - z$?

Since the degree of the monomials in z are sums of powers of 2 with at most two summands, the answer is $2^{m-2} + 2^{m-1}$ ←

Now we want to generalize for $2 \leq r \leq n$. One way of doing it is to consider the degrees d written in base 2:

$$d = \sum_{i \geq 0} d_i 2^i \quad (d_i \in \{0, 1\}) \rightsquigarrow \dots d_i \dots d_1 d_0 \text{ in base 2}$$

a sum of powers of 2 as in \otimes

Since $d < 2^m$ (after reducing the polynomial modulo $Z^{2^m} - Z$), or since $d \leq 2^m - 1 = 1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{m-1}$, we want d written in base 2 with m bits $d_i \in \{0, 1\}$ with at most r bits 1. The largest possible d corresponds to

$$\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_r \underbrace{0 \dots 0}_{m-r} \text{ in base 2}$$

$$\Rightarrow d = 2^{m-1} + 2^{m-2} + \dots + 2^{m-r} = 2^{m-r} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} 2^i \right) = 2^{m-r} \times (2^r - 1) = 2^m - 2^{m-r}$$

This suggests that $R_{2,m}(r) \leq 2^m - 2^{m-r}$.

To show that $R_{2,m}(r) \geq 2^m - 2^{m-r}$, we do as in the low-degree case choosing just one specific f and count its roots. Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = x_1 \dots x_r$ (recall that $r \leq m$). Then

$a = (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ is a "non-root" of $f: \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ iff

$$f(a_1, \dots, a_m) = 1 \Leftrightarrow a_1 \dots a_r = 1$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left. \begin{array}{l} a_1 = \dots = a_r = 1 \\ \text{and } a_{r+1}, \dots, a_m \in \mathbb{F}_2 \end{array} \right\} 2^{m-r} \text{ total choices}$$

So f has $2^m - 2^{m-r}$ roots. Since Φ is bijective and

$x \in \mathbb{F}_{2^m}$ is a root of $f \circ \Phi$ iff $\Phi(x) \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ is a root of f , $f \circ \Phi$ also has $2^m - 2^{m-r}$ roots.

$$\Rightarrow R_{2,m}(r) \geq \deg(f \circ \Phi) \geq 2^m - 2^{m-r}$$

Proof $R_{2,m}(r) = 2^m - 2^{m-r}$

(For a rigorous proof, read section 13.3.2 in the book.)

$RM(2,m,r)$ is a $[2^m, \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{m}{i}, 2^{m-r}]_2$ code

The "best" RS code which contains all $f \circ \Phi$ for $f \in RM(2,m,r)$ (and $\Phi: \mathbb{F}_2^m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{F}_2^m$ fixed) is the code \mathcal{C} with parameters

$$[2^m, R_{2,m}(r) + 1, 2^m - R_{2,m}(r)]_{2^m} = [2^m, 2^m - 2^{m-r} + 1, 2^{m-r}]_{2^m}$$

The same minimum distance! by proof.

As in the low degree case, we can use \mathcal{C} to decode $RM(2,m,r)$ up to $\frac{1}{2} \times 2^{m-r}$ many errors.